

$S_{1000} =$	7.48547	08605	50344	91265	65182	04333	90017	65216	79169	70880
	36657	73626	74995	76993	49165	20244	09599	34437	41184	50813
	96798	01438	22544	03715	81484	21958	84703	40431	40398	43368
	92966	39178	33827	35905	57913	00071	54692	68403	25933	79804
	87809	56515	86955	67800	24804	71415	08712	32350	00711	42865
	21027	95267	06455							
$\text{Log}_e 1000 =$	6.90775	52789	82137	05205	39743	64053	09262	28033	04465	88631
	89280	99983	70290	27178	29032	05744	07079	91615	26879	48950
	25903	35212	68587	45900	22857	63952	48420	26999	88621	07296
	34506	84487	21624	97666	40425	31399	68447	86995	95585	18051
	59268	96133	19788	65384	90098	66686	30946	59660	23963	10024
	23212	72982	31056							
$E =$.57721	56649	01532	86060	65120	90082	40243	10421	59335	93992
	35988	05767	23488	48677	26777	66467	09369	47063	29174	67495
	14631	44724	98070	82480	96050	40144	86542	83622	41739	97644
	92353	62535	00333	74293	73377	37673	94279	25952	58247	09491
	60087	35203	94816	56708	53233	15177	66115	28621	19950	15079
	84793	74508	56961							

It will be seen that the two values found for E agree to 263 places of decimals, which supplies another independent verification of the value obtained for $\log_e 2$.

February 14, 1878.

Sir JOSEPH HOOKER, K.C.S.I., President, in the Chair.

The Right Hon. William Henry Smith and the Right Hon. Sir William Henry Gregory, whose certificates had been suspended as required by the Statutes, were balloted for and elected Fellows of the Society.

The Presents received were laid on the table and thanks ordered for them.

The following Papers were read:—

- I. "Concerning the Effects on the Heart of Alternate Stimulation of the Vagi." By ARTHUR GAMGEE, M.D., F.R.S., Brackenbury Professor of Physiology in Owens College, and JOHN PRIESTLEY, Assistant Lecturer in Physiology in Owens College. Received December 15, 1877.

In 1869, A. B. Meyer* observed that it was impossible to stop the heart in dogs and rabbits continuously by stimulation of the vagus

* A. B. Meyer "Das Hemmungsnervensystem des Herzens." (Abstract by Schiffer, "Centralblatt," 1869, No. 14, p. 216.)

nerve, even when the nerves were alternately stimulated so as to relieve one another.

In 1875, Tarchanoff and Puelma* stated, "Si l'on excite longtemps *un* des pneumogastriques du chien avec des courants forts jusqu'à épuiser complètement son action sur le cœur, ce qui se manifeste par le retour de ses battements et si l'on passe *immédiatement* à l'*excitation de l'autre*, on n'obtient plus d'arrêt du cœur, et même on n'observe aucune altération de son activité : et pourtant le nerf excité en dernier lieu n'est alors, on le conçoit, nullement épuisé." From this experiment they concluded, "que chacun des pneumogastriques met en jeu tout l'appareil modérateur situé dans les parois du cœur ; et qu'une fois cet appareil épuisé par l'excitation d'un pneumogastrique, il ne peut être mis en activité par l'excitation de l'autre."

In 1876, Tarchanoff† published observations on the frog, in which he states that the behaviour of the frog's heart to *vagus*-stimulation is quite different, in respect of the mutual influence of the two nerves, from the behaviour of the heart of dogs or rabbits : "J'ai vu alors que si l'on attend pour exciter le second pneumogastrique que le premier ait été épuisé par l'excitation, l'arrêt du cœur s'obtient de la façon la plus nette ;" and he concludes "Que chez les mammifères les deux nerfs aboutissent à un appareil modérateur commun, tandis que chez la grenouille chaque nerf aboutit à un appareil indépendant."

Between the publication of Tarchanoff and Puelma's note and the publication of Tarchanoff's later observations on the frog, the authors of this paper undertook to check Tarchanoff and Puelma's statement respecting mammals, and to extend the method of experiment to frogs. In the case of mammals, dogs and rabbits were used. They were rendered insensible by chloroform or ether, or subcutaneous injections of hydrochlorate of morphia. Their vagi were exposed in the neck and divided ; and the peripheral ends loosely tied by ligatures. Arrangements were made to rapidly shunt an induced, interrupted current from a Du Bois-Reymond's induction coil, from one *vagus* into the other, the peripheral ends of the nerves being laid over fine platinum electrodes for the purpose. In all the experiments save one a Daniell cell was used to induce the currents. A cannula was placed in the femoral artery (in one case in the carotid artery) and connected with a kymograph, which wrote upon a moving sheet of paper.

In the case of frogs, the brain, and sometimes the spinal cord, were destroyed by pithing ; a stout glass rod was thrust down the gullet ;

* Jean Tarchanoff et G. Puelma, "Note sur l'effet de l'excitation alternative des deux pneumogastriques sur l'arrêt du cœur." "Archives de Physiologie," serie II, tome II, 1875.

† M. de Tarchanoff, "Innervation de l'appareil modérateur du cœur chez la Grenouille." Marey's "Physiologie Expérimentale," II Année, 1876, p. 289.

the vagi were exposed and placed each on a pair of fine platinum electrodes; and preparations were made for shunting an interrupted current, by means of a commutator, from one nerve into the other, just as in the case of mammals. Sometimes the heart was watched directly and notes made. At other times care was taken to register the heart's rate, the lapse of time and the moments of stimulation. The heart's rate was indicated by means of Marey's *Myographe du cœur*,* the time by an electromagnet and Ludwig's *Unterbrechungsuhr*, and the moments of stimulation by a marking key, each holding a pointed lever against a smoked revolving cylinder.

The general result of the experiments made by the authors of this paper, is the following: In all the animals hitherto examined (*viz.*, dogs, rabbits and frogs), if one vagus be stimulated powerfully so as at first to arrest the heart, and if after the heart has recommenced to contract, the current be at once shunted to the other vagus, arrest again occurs: in some cases, however, on again reversing to the vagus first stimulated, no effect is produced. This result may be formulated as follows: Stimulation of one vagus never annuls, or even prejudices, the inhibiting powers of the other vagus, *unless when the inhibiting apparatus has been recently under stimulation for some time*. It would therefore seem that Tarchanoff, in asserting the mutual prejudicial action of vagus-stimulations in mammals, and denying it in frogs, has missed one half of the truth in the case of the former, and the other half in the case of the latter.

In illustration of their statement of results of experiments, the authors append a reduced kymographic tracing of a dog. The animal was a young terrier, and the experiment was made in the manner above described. The arterial cannula leading to the kymograph was introduced into the femoral artery.

The upper line is the tracing of the kymograph, the middle line is the line of no pressure, and the lower line is divided into intervals of five seconds. The tracing reads from left to right. Quite at the left of the figure a small portion of the normal tracing is represented. At 2h. 25m. 15s., a stimulus was thrown into the left vagus, the secondary coil being 6 c.m. from the primary. At once the heart stopped and the blood-pressure fell. The heart recommenced, and at 2h. 25m. 32s. the current was shut off from the nerve. It remained off about 10 seconds, and at the expiration of that interval the current was thrown into the right vagus. Again the heart stopped, and remained motionless until 2h. 26m. 25s., a period of 43 seconds, the current, of course, passing the whole of the time. The heart then began to beat, and the blood-pressure rose towards the normal rapidly. At 2h. 26m. 53s. the current was shunted out of the right vagus into the left, the nerve first stimulated, and once more the pulse ceased and

* See Marey's "Physiologie Expérimentale," II Année, 1876, p. 70.

the pressure fell. At 2h. 27m. 15s. the pressure quickly rose, notwithstanding the continued stimulation; until at 2h. 27m. 45s. the current was broken and the experiment brought to a close.

It seems to the authors that the generally accepted theory of the connection of the vagus nerves with a common intermediate apparatus is fully competent to cover the facts established by A. B. Meyer, Tarchanoff and Puelma, and themselves. Each vagus abuts against a common nervous apparatus, through which every inhibitory stimulus must pass to reach the cardiac muscle. It is only necessary to suppose—and the supposition, as it will be shown, is not gratuitous—that the exhaustibility of the vagi is far greater than that of the common mechanism they lead to, in order to gain a consistent view of the facts. When one vagus is stimulated with a strong current, the heart, after a longer or shorter period of diastolic rest, gradually escapes from the inhibitory influence of the stimulus. This escape must not be supposed to be due to the gradual exhaustion of the whole inhibiting apparatus, but merely to that of the vagus which is stimulated. The heart escapes, not because its guard is enfeebled, but because the warrant for detention miscarries. On shunting the current into the other vagus, the intermediate apparatus is at once roused and the heart stopped.

So much greater are the enduring powers of the intermediate apparatus that, even in the mammal, where, presumedly, they are more easily exhausted than in the frog, the intermediate apparatus seems capable of tiring out relay after relay of reinvigorated vagi. Indeed, it would appear to be next to impossible, by the most careful and judicious alternate stimulation of the vagi, to cause exhaustion of the intermediate apparatus; for, after stimulating the vagi alternately a few times, the interval during which each vagus can hold the heart in check becomes too brief for the recovery of the resting vagus. Nevertheless, when this stage has been reached, it is always possible (in the frog) at once to stop the heart by applying an induced, interrupted current to the *sinus venosus*, even if the current so applied be weaker than that passing previously through the vagus nerves.

But, although the authors believe that the intermediate apparatus can, in health, easily wear out the organised attacks of the vagi, particularly in the case of frogs, yet they think it not improbable that, in conditions unfavourable to the restorative processes, the intermediate apparatus may occasionally succumb to successive vagus-stimulations, especially in the case of mammals. They are, however, convinced that the latter alternative very rarely occurs.

The assumption of such enormous powers of endurance for the intermediate mechanism is not gratuitous. As long ago as 1869, A. B. Meyer* pointed out that the heart of the frog could be inhibited

* A. B. Meyer, *loc. cit.*

for hours by carefully applying interrupted currents to the *sinus venosus*; and although the authors of this paper, in repeating the experiment, have sometimes failed to inhibit indefinitely—as did also Meyer—they have always found it possible to stop the heart for very long intervals by stimulating the *sinus venosus*; even with weaker currents than had just before sufficed to exhaust simultaneously the inhibiting powers of both vagi.

II. “On Schulze’s Mode of Intercepting the Germinal Matter of the Air.” By JOHN TYNDALL, F.R.S., Professor of Natural Philosophy in the Royal Institution. Received December 17, 1877.

In “Poggendorf’s Annalen” for 1836, Franz Schulze described an experiment which has attained considerable celebrity. He placed in a flask a mixture of vegetable and animal matters and water; through the cork of the flask two glass tubes passed air-tight, each being bent at a right angle above the cork. He boiled the infusion; and while steam issued from the two glass tubes, he attached to each of them a group of Liebig’s bulbs, one group being filled with solution of caustic potash, and the other with concentrated sulphuric acid. Applying his mouth on the potash side, he sucked air daily through the sulphuric acid into the flask. But, though the process was continued from the end of May till the beginning of August, no life appeared.

In this experiment, the germs diffused in the atmosphere are supposed to have been destroyed by the sulphuric acid, and doubtless this was the case. Other experimenters, however, in repeating the experiment of Schulze, have failed to obtain his results. The experiments of Dr. Hughes Bennett are a case in point, to which I might add certain failures of my own. Schulze’s success is, perhaps, in part to be ascribed to the purity of the air in which he worked; possibly, also, to extreme care in drawing the air into his flask; or, it may be, that the peculiar disposition of his experiment favoured him. Within the flask, as shown by his diagram, both his glass tubes terminated immediately under the cork, so that the air, entering by the one tube, was immediately sucked into the other, thus failing to mix completely with the general air of the flask.

At a very moderate rate of transfer, I found, in 1869, that germs could pass unscathed through caustic potash and sulphuric acid in succession. To render the experiment secure, the air-bubbles must pass so slowly through the sulphuric acid, that the floating matter, up to the very core of every bubble, must come into contact with the surrounding liquid. It must, of course, touch the acid before it can be destroyed.

....Excitation of left vagus,
II coil at 6 c.m.

....Stop exciting.

....Reversed excitation to
right vagus.

....Reversed to left vagus.

....Stop exciting.

(Tracing reduced by photography to about $\frac{1}{6}$.)

